Lec6: Human Capital

Labor Economics, Fall 2023

Zhaopeng Qu

11/16/2023

Nanjing University



Investment

- 1. Incur an initial cost
- 2. Expect to recoup in some future period
- Human Capital Investments
 - 1. Education and training
 - 2. Health
 - 3. Migration
 - 4. Job search

Investment

1. Incur an initial cost

2. Expect to recoup in some future period

- Human Capital Investments
 - 1. Education and training
 - 2. Health
 - 3. Migration
 - 4. Job search

- Investment
 - 1. Incur an initial cost
 - 2. Expect to recoup in some future period
- Human Capital Investments
 - 1. Education and training
 - 2. Health
 - 3. Migration
 - 4. Job search

- Investment
 - 1. Incur an initial cost
 - 2. Expect to recoup in some future period
- Human Capital Investments
 - 1. Education and training
 - 2. Health
 - 3. Migration
 - 4. Job search

- Investment
 - 1. Incur an initial cost
 - 2. Expect to recoup in some future period
- Human Capital Investments
 - 1. Education and training
 - 2. Health
 - 3. Migration
 - 4. Job search

- Investment
 - 1. Incur an initial cost
 - 2. Expect to recoup in some future period
- Human Capital Investments
 - 1. Education and training
 - 2. Health
 - 3. Migration
 - 4. Job search

- Investment
 - 1. Incur an initial cost
 - 2. Expect to recoup in some future period
- Human Capital Investments
 - 1. Education and training
 - 2. Health
 - 3. Migration
 - 4. Job search

- Investment
 - 1. Incur an initial cost
 - 2. Expect to recoup in some future period
- Human Capital Investments
 - 1. Education and training
 - 2. Health
 - 3. Migration
 - 4. Job search

- Investment
 - 1. Incur an initial cost
 - 2. Expect to recoup in some future period
- Human Capital Investments
 - 1. Education and training
 - 2. Health
 - 3. Migration
 - 4. Job search
- Special feature: investment embodied in people

- Theodore Schultz
- 1979 Nobel Prize Winner



- Theodore Schultz
- 1979 Nobel Prize Winner



Theodore Schultz

1979 Nobel Prize Winner



- Theodore Schultz
- 1979 Nobel Prize Winner



- Theodore Schultz
- 1979 Nobel Prize Winner



• Stock of physical capital:

- natural resources, buildings, machines
- Stock of human capital:
 - accumulated investments in education, training, migration, health and technology
- Even H.C.is more important than P.C. on the economics
 - Germany/Japan after WWIII

- Stock of physical capital:
 - natural resources, buildings, machines
- Stock of human capital:
 - accumulated investments in education, training, migration, health and technology
- Even H.C.is more important than P.C. on the economics
 - Germany/Japan after WWIII

- Stock of physical capital:
 - natural resources, buildings, machines
- Stock of human capital:
 - accumulated investments in education, training, migration, health and technology
- Even H.C.is more important than P.C. on the economics
 - Germany/Japan after WWIII

- Stock of physical capital:
 - natural resources, buildings, machines
- Stock of human capital:
 - accumulated investments in education, training, migration, health and technology
- Even H.C.is more important than P.C. on the economics
 - Germany/Japan after WWIII

- Stock of physical capital:
 - natural resources, buildings, machines
- Stock of human capital:
 - accumulated investments in education, training, migration, health and technology
- Even H.C.is more important than P.C. on the economics
 - Germany/Japan after WWII

- Stock of physical capital:
 - natural resources, buildings, machines
- Stock of human capital:
 - accumulated investments in education, training, migration, health and technology
- Even H.C.is more important than P.C. on the economics
 - Germany/Japan after WWII

Early childhood

- Skill acquisition determined by others
 - Parental resource and guidance
 - Environment
 - Early school experience
- Teenagers and young adults as full-time students
 - Formal schooling
- Adults, after entering the labor market
 - On the job training

Early childhood

- Skill acquisition determined by others
 - Parental resource and guidance
 - Environment
 - Early school experience
- Teenagers and young adults as full-time students
 - Formal schooling
- Adults, after entering the labor market
 - On the job training

- Early childhood
 - Skill acquisition determined by others
 - Parental resource and guidance
 - Environment
 - Early school experience
- Teenagers and young adults as full-time students
 - Formal schooling
- Adults, after entering the labor market
 - On the job training

Early childhood

- Skill acquisition determined by others
 - Parental resource and guidance
 - Environment
 - Early school experience
- Teenagers and young adults as full-time students
 - Formal schooling
- Adults, after entering the labor market
 - On the job training

Early childhood

- Skill acquisition determined by others
 - Parental resource and guidance
 - Environment
 - Early school experience
- Teenagers and young adults as full-time students
 - Formal schooling
- Adults, after entering the labor market
 - On the job training

- Early childhood
 - Skill acquisition determined by others
 - Parental resource and guidance
 - Environment
 - Early school experience
- Teenagers and young adults as full-time students
 - Formal schooling
- Adults, after entering the labor market
 - On the job training

- Early childhood
 - Skill acquisition determined by others
 - Parental resource and guidance
 - Environment
 - Early school experience
- Teenagers and young adults as full-time students
 - Formal schooling
- Adults, after entering the labor market
 - On the job training

- Early childhood
 - Skill acquisition determined by others
 - Parental resource and guidance
 - Environment
 - Early school experience
- Teenagers and young adults as full-time students
 - Formal schooling
- Adults, after entering the labor market
 - On the job training

- Early childhood
 - Skill acquisition determined by others
 - Parental resource and guidance
 - Environment
 - Early school experience
- Teenagers and young adults as full-time students
 - Formal schooling
- Adults, after entering the labor market
 - On the job training

The typical questions

- Why some guys obtain a lot of schooling and others drop out at early age?
- How does the rate of return to schooling compare with the rate of return on other investments?
- How workers make their investments decisions and investigates how these choices influence the evolution of earnings over the life cycle?

The typical questions

- Why some guys obtain a lot of schooling and others drop out at early age?
- How does the rate of return to schooling compare with the rate of return on other investments?
- How workers make their investments decisions and investigates how these choices influence the evolution of earnings over the life cycle?

The typical questions

- Why some guys obtain a lot of schooling and others drop out at early age?
- How does the rate of return to schooling compare with the rate of return on other investments?
- How workers make their investments decisions and investigates how these choices influence the evolution of earnings over the life cycle?

 It is one of the most important ideas in labor economics.

 The perspective is important in understanding both investment incentives and the structure of wage and earnings. It is one of the most important ideas in labor economics.

 The perspective is important in understanding both investment incentives and the structure of wage and earnings.
Schooling decision

- College vs. high school
- Continuous schooling choice
- The signaling model
 - Is the investment socially worthwhile?
- Post-school investment(OJT)

- Schooling decision
 - College vs. high school
 - Continuous schooling choice
- The signaling model
 - Is the investment socially worthwhile?
- Post-school investment(OJT)

- Schooling decision
 - College vs. high school
 - Continuous schooling choice
- The signaling model
 - Is the investment socially worthwhile?
- Post-school investment(OJT)

- Schooling decision
 - College vs. high school
 - Continuous schooling choice
- The signaling model
 - Is the investment socially worthwhile?
- Post-school investment(OJT)

- Schooling decision
 - College vs. high school
 - Continuous schooling choice
- The signaling model
 - Is the investment socially worthwhile?
- Post-school investment(OJT)

- Schooling decision
 - College vs. high school
 - Continuous schooling choice
- The signaling model
 - Is the investment socially worthwhile?
- Post-school investment(OJT)

 There are only slight differences in educational attainment between men and women.

 There are substantial differences among racial and ethnic groups. There are only slight differences in educational attainment between men and women.

• There are substantial differences among racial and ethnic groups.

The distribution of education in U.S.

TABLE 6-1 Educational Attainment of U.S. Population, 2007 (Persons Aged 25 and over)

	Highest Grade Completed (Percentage of Population in Education Category)						
Group	Less Than High School	High School Graduates	Some College	Associate Degree	Bachelor's Degree	Advanced Degree	
All Persons	12.7%	33.2%	16.7%	8.6%	18.9%	9.9%	
Gender:							
Male	13.3	33.4	16.1	7.7	18.7	10.8	
Female	12.2	33.0	17.3	9.5	19.0	9.0	
Race/ethnicity:							
White	8.3	33.4	17.4	9.1	20.8	11.1	
Black	15.0	38.6	18.9	8.8	13.1	5.7	
Hispanic	36.6	31.4	13.0	6.2	9.4	3.3	

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Annual Demographic Supplement of the Current Population Surveys, March 2007.

- Education is strongly correlated with

- 1. labor force participation rates
- 2. Unemployment rates
- 3. Earnings
- 4. Health
- 5. Other characteristics

- Education is strongly correlated with
 - 1. labor force participation rates
 - 2. Unemployment rates
 - 3. Earnings
 - 4. Health
 - 5. Other characteristics

- Education is strongly correlated with
 - 1. labor force participation rates
 - 2. Unemployment rates
 - 3. Earnings
 - 4. Health
 - 5. Other characteristics

- Education is strongly correlated with
 - 1. labor force participation rates
 - 2. Unemployment rates
 - 3. Earnings
 - 4. Health
 - 5. Other characteristics

- Education is strongly correlated with
 - 1. labor force participation rates
 - 2. Unemployment rates
 - 3. Earnings
 - 4. Health
 - 5. Other characteristics

- Education is strongly correlated with
 - 1. labor force participation rates
 - 2. Unemployment rates
 - 3. Earnings
 - 4. Health
 - 5. Other characteristics

Labor Market Characteristics in U.S.

TABLE 6-2 Labor Market Characteristics, by Education Group, 2007 (Persons Aged 25–64)

Sources: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Annual Demographic Supplement of the Current Population Surveys, March 2007.

		Less Than High School	High School Graduates	Some College	College Graduates
All workers:	Labor force participation rate	62.9	76.0	81.3	85.9
	Unemployment rate	8.6	4.9	3.7	1.8
	Annual earnings (in \$1,000)	22.8	33.0	39.3	68.2
Gender:					
Men	Labor force participation rate	75.6	83.6	87.4	92.5
	Unemployment rate	8.4	5.6	3.9	1.9
	Annual earnings (in \$1,000)	26.2	39.6	47.2	84.8
Women	Labor force participation rate	48.1	68.1	76.1	79.7
	Unemployment rate	8.8	3.9	3.5	1.8
	Annual earnings (in \$1,000)	16.8	25.0	31.9	50.6
Race/ethnicity:					
White	Labor force participation rate	57.7	76.6	81.2	86.2
	Unemployment rate	8.8	4.4	3.2	1.7
	Annual earnings (in \$1,000)	26.1	35.2	49.9	70.7
Black	Labor force participation rate	53.7	71.8	80.9	88.2
	Unemployment rate	14.9	7.8	5.6	2.4
	Annual earnings (in \$1,000)	19.3	28.0	34.3	55.3
Hispanic	Labor force participation rate	69.8	79.1	82.9	85.7
	Unemployment rate	7.3	3.9	4.4	2.1
	Annual earnings (in \$1,000)	21.6	28.8	35.2	55.7

Objective: Maximize the present value of lifetime earnings

- Benefits of education and training only come from the investment aspect
- "Side effects" of education in increasing utility are ignored in the model
 - Consumption aspect
 - Advantage in the marriage market

- Objective: Maximize the present value of lifetime earnings
- Benefits of education and training only come from the investment aspect
- "Side effects" of education in increasing utility are ignored in the model
 - Consumption aspect
 - Advantage in the marriage market

- Objective: Maximize the present value of lifetime earnings
- Benefits of education and training only come from the investment aspect
- "Side effects" of education in increasing utility are ignored in the model
 - Consumption aspect
 - Advantage in the marriage market

- Objective: Maximize the present value of lifetime earnings
- Benefits of education and training only come from the investment aspect
- "Side effects" of education in increasing utility are ignored in the model
 - Consumption aspect
 - Advantage in the marriage market

- Objective: Maximize the present value of lifetime earnings
- Benefits of education and training only come from the investment aspect
- "Side effects" of education in increasing utility are ignored in the model
 - Consumption aspect
 - Advantage in the marriage market

Costs of Education

- Direct expenses:
 - Tuition
 - Expenditure on books and school supplies
- Foregone earnings:
 - Opportunity cost of time
- Psychic costs

Costs of Education

- Direct expenses:
 - Tuition
 - Expenditure on books and school supplies
- Foregone earnings:
 - Opportunity cost of time
- Psychic costs

- Direct expenses:
 - Tuition
 - Expenditure on books and school supplies
- Foregone earnings:
 - Opportunity cost of time.
- Psychic costs

- Direct expenses:
 - Tuition
 - Expenditure on books and school supplies
- Foregone earnings:
 - Opportunity cost of time
- Psychic costs

- Direct expenses:
 - Tuition
 - Expenditure on books and school supplies
- Foregone earnings:
 - Opportunity cost of time
- Psychic costs

- Direct expenses:
 - Tuition
 - Expenditure on books and school supplies
- Foregone earnings:
 - Opportunity cost of time
- Psychic costs

Consider the Decision of College

- Consider a high school graduate at 18 years
- He earns W_{HS} if quitting school after high school
- If he goes to college,
 - pays direct cost H
 - delays labor market entry by 4 years
 - earns W_{OCL} after college

Consider the Decision of College

- Consider a high school graduate at 18 years
- He earns W_{HS} if quitting school after high school
- If he goes to college,
 - pays direct cost H
 - delays labor market entry by 4 years
 - earns W_{OCL} after college

Consider the Decision of College

- Consider a high school graduate at 18 years
- He earns W_{HS} if quitting school after high school
- If he goes to college,
 - pays direct cost H
 - delays labor market entry by 4 years
 - earns W_{OCL} after college

- Consider a high school graduate at 18 years
- He earns W_{HS} if quitting school after high school
- If he goes to college,
 - pays direct cost H
 - delays labor market entry by 4 years
 - earns W_{OCL} after college

- Consider a high school graduate at 18 years
- He earns W_{HS} if quitting school after high school
- If he goes to college,
 - pays direct cost H
 - delays labor market entry by 4 years
 - earns W_{OCL} after college

- Consider a high school graduate at 18 years
- He earns W_{HS} if quitting school after high school
- If he goes to college,
 - pays direct cost H
 - delays labor market entry by 4 years
 - earns W_{OCL} after college

Potential Earnings Stream



$$\begin{split} PV_{col} &= -H - \frac{H}{(1+r)} - \frac{H}{(1+r)^2} - \frac{H}{(1+r)^3} + \frac{W_{col}}{(1+r)^4} + \frac{W_{COL}}{(1+r)^5} + \dots \\ &+ \frac{W_{cot}}{(1+r)^{41}} \\ PV_{HS} &= W_{HS} + \frac{W_{HS}}{(1+r)} + \frac{W_{HS}}{(1+r)^2} + \dots + \frac{W_{HS}}{(1+r)^{41}} \end{split}$$

A person chooses to go to college only if

 $PV_{COL} > PV_{HS}$

Benefits of College

$$PVB_{COL} = \frac{W_{COL} - W_{HS}}{(1+r)^4} + \frac{W_{COL} - W_{HS}}{(1+r)^5} + \ldots + \frac{W_{COL} - W_{HS}}{(1+r)^{41}}$$

Costs of College

$$PVC_{COL} = (H + W_{HS}) + \frac{H + W_{HS}}{(1+r)} + \frac{H + W_{HS}}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{H + W_{HS}}{(1+r)^3}$$
Benefits of College

$$PVB_{COL} = \frac{W_{COL} - W_{HS}}{(1+r)^4} + \frac{W_{COL} - W_{HS}}{(1+r)^5} + \ldots + \frac{W_{COL} - W_{HS}}{(1+r)^{41}}$$

Costs of College

$$PVC_{COL} = (H + W_{HS}) + \frac{H + W_{HS}}{(1+r)} + \frac{H + W_{HS}}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{H + W_{HS}}{(1+r)^3}$$

The College Decision in General

- The income flow may not be flatted, but a increasing profile.
 - The earnings streams are not constant
- When there are more than two schooling options.
- The "stopping rule" tells the individual when it is optimal to quit school and enter the labor market.

The College Decision in General

- The income flow may not be flatted, but a increasing profile.
 - The earnings streams are not constant
- When there are more than two schooling options.
- The "stopping rule" tells the individual when it is optimal to quit school and enter the labor market.

- The income flow may not be flatted, but a increasing profile.
 - The earnings streams are not constant
- When there are more than two schooling options.
- The "stopping rule" tells the individual when it is optimal to quit school and enter the labor market.

- The income flow may not be flatted, but a increasing profile.
 - The earnings streams are not constant
- When there are more than two schooling options.
- The "stopping rule" tells the individual when it is optimal to quit school and enter the labor market.

The College Decision in General

The earnings streams are not constant



Continuous Schooling

The Wage-Schooling Locus

Three Properties:

a) Upward sloping

- b) The slope is dy/ds: additional (annual) earning from an additional year of schooling
- c) Concave: Diminishing marginal returns to human capital accumulation

Continuous Schooling

- The Wage-Schooling Locus
- Three Properties:
 - a) Upward sloping
 - b) The slope is dy/ds: additional (annual) earning from an additional year of schooling
 - c) Concave: Diminishing marginal returns to human capital accumulation

Continuous Schooling

- The Wage-Schooling Locus
- Three Properties:
 - a) Upward sloping
 - b) The slope is dy/ds: additional (annual) earning from an additional year of schooling
 - c) Concave: Diminishing marginal returns to human capital accumulation

- The Wage-Schooling Locus
- Three Properties:
 - a) Upward sloping
 - b) The slope is dy/ds: additional (annual) earning from an additional year of schooling
 - c) Concave: Diminishing marginal returns to human capital accumulation

- The Wage-Schooling Locus
- Three Properties:
 - a) Upward sloping
 - b) The slope is dy/ds: additional (annual) earning from an additional year of schooling
 - c) Concave: Diminishing marginal returns to human capital accumulation

The Wage-Schooling Locus



- Consider an additional year of schooling
- MB: Present value of a stream of extra annual earnings from the extra schooling
- MC: costs of an extra year of schooling
- Optimal schooling: MB=MC
 - Higher MC reduces schooling
 - Lower MB reduces schooling

- Consider an additional year of schooling
- MB: Present value of a stream of extra annual earnings from the extra schooling
- MC: costs of an extra year of schooling
- Optimal schooling: MB=MC
 - Higher MC reduces schooling
 - Lower MB reduces schooling

- Consider an additional year of schooling
- MB: Present value of a stream of extra annual earnings from the extra schooling
- MC: costs of an extra year of schooling
- Optimal schooling: MB=MC
 - Higher MC reduces schooling
 - Lower MB reduces schooling

- Consider an additional year of schooling
- MB: Present value of a stream of extra annual earnings from the extra schooling
- MC: costs of an extra year of schooling
- Optimal schooling: MB=MC
 - Higher MC reduces schooling
 - Lower MB reduces schooling

- Consider an additional year of schooling
- MB: Present value of a stream of extra annual earnings from the extra schooling
- MC: costs of an extra year of schooling
- Optimal schooling: MB=MC
 - Higher MC reduces schooling
 - Lower MB reduces schooling

- Consider an additional year of schooling
- MB: Present value of a stream of extra annual earnings from the extra schooling
- MC: costs of an extra year of schooling
- Optimal schooling: MB=MC
 - Higher MC reduces schooling
 - Lower MB reduces schooling

Figure 9.1 The Optimum Acquisition of Human Capital



- Definition: d(lny)/ds: percentage change in earnings associated with an additional year of schooling
- Also called marginal rate of return to schooling
- On locus, it must decline as schooling increase
- A central concept in empirical research
- Often compared with the rate of return to capital to determine over/under investment in human capital

- Definition: d(lny)/ds: percentage change in earnings associated with an additional year of schooling
- Also called marginal rate of return to schooling
- On locus, it must decline as schooling increase
- A central concept in empirical research
- Often compared with the rate of return to capital to determine over/under investment in human capital

- Definition: d(lny)/ds: percentage change in earnings associated with an additional year of schooling
- Also called marginal rate of return to schooling
- On locus, it must decline as schooling increase
- A central concept in empirical research
- Often compared with the rate of return to capital to determine over/under investment in human capital

- Definition: d(lny)/ds: percentage change in earnings associated with an additional year of schooling
- Also called marginal rate of return to schooling
- On locus, it must decline as schooling increase
- A central concept in empirical research
- Often compared with the rate of return to capital to determine over/under investment in human capital

- Definition: d(lny)/ds: percentage change in earnings associated with an additional year of schooling
- Also called marginal rate of return to schooling
- On locus, it must decline as schooling increase
- A central concept in empirical research
- Often compared with the rate of return to capital to determine over/under investment in human capital

Optimal Schooling and the ROR



If the worker's rate of discount equals r, then it is optimal for the worker to choose $\mathrm{S}^\ast.$

- Workers have different levels of schooling for two reasons:
 - Different rates of discount
 - Different marginal rates of return
- Different educational levels lead to different incomes
- Can we calculate rates to education based on observed differences in wages and schooling?

- Workers have different levels of schooling for two reasons:
 - Different rates of discount
 - Different marginal rates of return
- Different educational levels lead to different incomes
- Can we calculate rates to education based on observed differences in wages and schooling?

- Workers have different levels of schooling for two reasons:
 - Different rates of discount
 - Different marginal rates of return
- Different educational levels lead to different incomes
- Can we calculate rates to education based on observed differences in wages and schooling?

- Workers have different levels of schooling for two reasons:
 - Different rates of discount
 - Different marginal rates of return
- Different educational levels lead to different incomes
- Can we calculate rates to education based on observed differences in wages and schooling?

- Workers have different levels of schooling for two reasons:
 - Different rates of discount
 - Different marginal rates of return
- Different educational levels lead to different incomes
- Can we calculate rates to education based on observed differences in wages and schooling?

- A and B's marginal rates of return to schooling are the same
 - Face same wage-schooling locus
- A faces a higher discount rate than B due to
 - more present-orientedness
 - credit constraint (higher borrowing cost)
- Result: A choose 11 years of schooling; B chooses 12 years of schooling
- The wage differential lets us estimate the rate of return to education

- A and B's marginal rates of return to schooling are the same
 - Face same wage-schooling locus
- A faces a higher discount rate than B due to
 - more present-orientedness
 - credit constraint (higher borrowing cost)
- Result: A choose 11 years of schooling; B chooses 12 years of schooling
- The wage differential lets us estimate the rate of return to education

- A and B's marginal rates of return to schooling are the same
 - Face same wage-schooling locus
- A faces a higher discount rate than B due to
 - more present-orientedness
 - credit constraint (higher borrowing cost)
- Result: A choose 11 years of schooling; B chooses 12 years of schooling
- The wage differential lets us estimate the rate of return to education

- A and B's marginal rates of return to schooling are the same
 - Face same wage-schooling locus
- A faces a higher discount rate than B due to
 - more present-orientedness
 - credit constraint (higher borrowing cost)
- Result: A choose 11 years of schooling; B chooses 12 years of schooling
- The wage differential lets us estimate the rate of return to education

- A and B's marginal rates of return to schooling are the same
 - Face same wage-schooling locus
- A faces a higher discount rate than B due to
 - more present-orientedness
 - credit constraint (higher borrowing cost)
- Result: A choose 11 years of schooling; B chooses 12 years of schooling
- The wage differential lets us estimate the rate of return to education

- A and B's marginal rates of return to schooling are the same
 - Face same wage-schooling locus
- A faces a higher discount rate than B due to
 - more present-orientedness
 - credit constraint (higher borrowing cost)
- Result: A choose 11 years of schooling; B chooses 12 years of schooling
- The wage differential lets us estimate the rate of return to education
Differences in the Rate of Discount

- A and B's marginal rates of return to schooling are the same
 - Face same wage-schooling locus
- A faces a higher discount rate than B due to
 - more present-orientedness
 - credit constraint (higher borrowing cost)
- Result: A choose 11 years of schooling; B chooses 12 years of schooling
- The wage differential lets us estimate the rate of return to education

Differences in the Rate of Discount



- All workers have the same discount rate
- Face different wage-schooling locus
 - Different marginal rate of return to schooling
 - High ability person (B) has higher marginal return from schooling
- Result: B chooses 12 years, A 11 years
- The wage differential over-estimates the true rate of return to schooling

- All workers have the same discount rate
- Face different wage-schooling locus
 - Different marginal rate of return to schooling
 - High ability person (B) has higher marginal return from schooling
- Result: B chooses 12 years, A 11 years
- The wage differential over-estimates the true rate of return to schooling

- All workers have the same discount rate
- Face different wage-schooling locus
 - Different marginal rate of return to schooling
 - High ability person (B) has higher marginal return from schooling
- Result: B chooses 12 years, A 11 years
- The wage differential over-estimates the true rate of return to schooling

- All workers have the same discount rate
- Face different wage-schooling locus
 - Different marginal rate of return to schooling
 - High ability person (B) has higher marginal return from schooling
- Result: B chooses 12 years, A 11 years
- The wage differential over-estimates the true rate of return to schooling

- All workers have the same discount rate
- Face different wage-schooling locus
 - Different marginal rate of return to schooling
 - High ability person (B) has higher marginal return from schooling
- Result: B chooses 12 years, A 11 years
- The wage differential over-estimates the true rate of return to schooling

- All workers have the same discount rate
- Face different wage-schooling locus
 - Different marginal rate of return to schooling
 - High ability person (B) has higher marginal return from schooling
- Result: B chooses 12 years, A 11 years
- The wage differential over-estimates the true rate of return to schooling



Why Do We Care About the Bias

- Individuals base their schooling decisions on the estimates
- Accurate estimates are needed to evaluate government intervening policies in education for the purpose of addressing poverty and wage inequality
 - Subsidize schooling
 - Mandatory education

- Individuals base their schooling decisions on the estimates
- Accurate estimates are needed to evaluate government intervening policies in education for the purpose of addressing poverty and wage inequality
 - Subsidize schooling
 - Mandatory education

- Individuals base their schooling decisions on the estimates
- Accurate estimates are needed to evaluate government intervening policies in education for the purpose of addressing poverty and wage inequality
 - Subsidize schooling
 - Mandatory education

- Individuals base their schooling decisions on the estimates
- Accurate estimates are needed to evaluate government intervening policies in education for the purpose of addressing poverty and wage inequality
 - Subsidize schooling
 - Mandatory education

Why Care About the Bias

- If differences in discount rate cause the wage gap, then we can correctly predict the benefits of the policy
- If differences in ability cause the wage gap, then the benefits of government programs could be over stated
- We will discuss how to solve these empirical problems in the next lecture.

- If differences in discount rate cause the wage gap, then we can correctly predict the benefits of the policy
- If differences in ability cause the wage gap, then the benefits of government programs could be over stated
- We will discuss how to solve these empirical problems in the next lecture.

- If differences in discount rate cause the wage gap, then we can correctly predict the benefits of the policy
- If differences in ability cause the wage gap, then the benefits of government programs could be over stated
- We will discuss how to solve these empirical problems in the next lecture.

Investment or consumption

- Non monetary benefit
- Education is a signal

- Investment or consumption
- Non monetary benefit
- Education is a signal

- Investment or consumption
- Non monetary benefit
- Education is a signal

- The signal model assumes that education does not increase the productivity.
- Employers have imperfect information about the productivity of potential employees thus they don't know if they are hiring a highly productivity worker or not.
- Education simply serves as a signal of the individuals' innate ability.

- The signal model assumes that education does not increase the productivity.
- Employers have imperfect information about the productivity of potential employees thus they don't know if they are hiring a highly productivity worker or not.
- Education simply serves as a signal of the individuals' innate ability.

- The signal model assumes that education does not increase the productivity.
- Employers have imperfect information about the productivity of potential employees thus they don't know if they are hiring a highly productivity worker or not.
- Education simply serves as a signal of the individuals' innate ability.

Setting:

- 2 groups of applicants:
 - a) high productivity or wage: 2
 - b) low productivity or wage: 1
 - c) Equal proportions
- The employer can't tell them apart
- 2 types of jobs:
 - a) Requires high skill
 - b) Does not require high skill

- Setting:
- 2 groups of applicants:
 - a) high productivity or wage: 2
 - b) low productivity or wage: 1
 - c) Equal proportions
- The employer can't tell them apart
- 2 types of jobs:
 - a) Requires high skill
 - b) Does not require high skill

- Setting:
- 2 groups of applicants:
 - a) high productivity or wage: 2
 - b) low productivity or wage: 1
 - c) Equal proportions
- The employer can't tell them apart
- 2 types of jobs:
 - a) Requires high skill
 - b) Does not require high skill

- Setting:
- 2 groups of applicants:
 - a) high productivity or wage: 2
 - b) low productivity or wage: 1
 - c) Equal proportions
- The employer can't tell them apart
- 2 types of jobs:
 - a) Requires high skill
 - b) Does not require high skill

- Setting:
- 2 groups of applicants:
 - a) high productivity or wage: 2
 - b) low productivity or wage: 1
 - c) Equal proportions
- The employer can't tell them apart
- 2 types of jobs:
 - a) Requires high skill
 - b) Does not require high skill

- Setting:
- 2 groups of applicants:
 - a) high productivity or wage: 2
 - b) low productivity or wage: 1
 - c) Equal proportions
- The employer can't tell them apart
- 2 types of jobs:
 - a) Requires high skill
 - b) Does not require high skill

- Setting:
- 2 groups of applicants:
 - a) high productivity or wage: 2
 - b) low productivity or wage: 1
 - c) Equal proportions
- The employer can't tell them apart
- 2 types of jobs:
 - a) Requires high skill
 - b) Does not require high skill

- Setting:
- 2 groups of applicants:
 - a) high productivity or wage: 2
 - b) low productivity or wage: 1
 - c) Equal proportions
- The employer can't tell them apart
- 2 types of jobs:
 - a) Requires high skill

- Setting:
- 2 groups of applicants:
 - a) high productivity or wage: 2
 - b) low productivity or wage: 1
 - c) Equal proportions
- The employer can't tell them apart
- 2 types of jobs:
 - a) Requires high skill
 - b) Does not require high skill

- Assign workers randomly between two jobs
- Average salary: $1/2 \times 2 + 1/2 \times 1 = 1.5$
 - Under-paying high productivity workers
 - Over-paying low productivity workers
- Undesirable for high prod. workers and firms
 - Firms are mismatching workers and jobs
 - High productivity workers have an incentive to distinguish themselves from low productivity workers

- Assign workers randomly between two jobs
- Average salary: $1/2\times 2 + 1/2\times 1 = 1.5$
 - Under-paying high productivity workers
 - Over-paying low productivity workers
- Undesirable for high prod. workers and firms
 - Firms are mismatching workers and jobs
 - High productivity workers have an incentive to distinguish themselves from low productivity workers

- Assign workers randomly between two jobs
- Average salary: $1/2\times 2 + 1/2\times 1 = 1.5$
 - Under-paying high productivity workers
 - Over-paying low productivity workers
- Undesirable for high prod. workers and firms
 - Firms are mismatching workers and jobs
 - High productivity workers have an incentive to distinguish themselves from low productivity workers

- Assign workers randomly between two jobs
- Average salary: $1/2\times 2 + 1/2\times 1 = 1.5$
 - Under-paying high productivity workers
 - Over-paying low productivity workers
- Undesirable for high prod. workers and firms
 Firms are mismatching workers and jobs
 - High productivity workers have an incentive to distinguish themselves from low productivity workers
- Assign workers randomly between two jobs
- Average salary: $1/2\times 2 + 1/2\times 1 = 1.5$
 - Under-paying high productivity workers
 - Over-paying low productivity workers
- Undesirable for high prod. workers and firms
 - Firms are mismatching workers and jobs
 - high productivity workers work at low-skill jobs and vise versa
 - High productivity workers have an incentive to distinguish themselves from low productivity workers

- Assign workers randomly between two jobs
- Average salary: $1/2\times 2 + 1/2\times 1 = 1.5$
 - Under-paying high productivity workers
 - Over-paying low productivity workers
- Undesirable for high prod. workers and firms
 - Firms are mismatching workers and jobs
 - high productivity workers work at low-skill jobs and vise versa
 - High productivity workers have an incentive to distinguish themselves from low productivity workers

- Assign workers randomly between two jobs
- Average salary: $1/2\times 2 + 1/2\times 1 = 1.5$
 - Under-paying high productivity workers
 - Over-paying low productivity workers
- Undesirable for high prod. workers and firms
 - Firms are mismatching workers and jobs
 - high productivity workers work at low-skill jobs and vise versa
 - High productivity workers have an incentive to distinguish themselves from low productivity workers

- Assign workers randomly between two jobs
- Average salary: $1/2\times 2 + 1/2\times 1 = 1.5$
 - Under-paying high productivity workers
 - Over-paying low productivity workers
- Undesirable for high prod. workers and firms
 - Firms are mismatching workers and jobs
 - high productivity workers work at low-skill jobs and vise versa
 - High productivity workers have an incentive to distinguish themselves from low productivity workers

- Use schooling: Years of schooling beyond high school
 - Applicants with at least e* years have high productivity
 - Applicants with less than e* have low productivity
- Equilibrium:
 - high productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 2
 - low productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 1

- Use schooling: Years of schooling beyond high school
 - Applicants with at least e* years have high productivity
- Applicants with less than e* have low productivity
 Equilibrium:
 - high productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 2
 - low productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 1

- Use schooling: Years of schooling beyond high school
 - Applicants with at least e* years have high productivity
 - Applicants with less than e* have low productivity
 Equilibrium:
 - high productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 2
 - low productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 1

- Use schooling: Years of schooling beyond high school
 - Applicants with at least e* years have high productivity
 - Applicants with less than e* have low productivity
- Equilibrium:
 - high productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 2
 - low productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 1

- Use schooling: Years of schooling beyond high school
 - Applicants with at least e* years have high productivity
 - Applicants with less than e* have low productivity
- Equilibrium:
 - high productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 2
 - low productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 1

- Use schooling: Years of schooling beyond high school
 - Applicants with at least e* years have high productivity
 - Applicants with less than e* have low productivity
- Equilibrium:
 - high productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 2
 - low productivity workers work at skilled jobs and receive 1

The Benefits to Workers



- Costs of schooling
 - Low productivity workers have high costs of acquiring schooling: C
 - High productivity workers have low costs of acquiring schooling: C/2
- Net benefits of acquiring schooling e*:
 - Benefit: receive wage 2.
 - Costs: pay C (low) or C/2 (high)
 - Net benefit: BD (low) or BF (high)
- Net benefits of not acquiring schooling e*:
 - receiving wage=1 (AO)

- Costs of schooling
 - Low productivity workers have high costs of acquiring schooling: C
 - High productivity workers have low costs of acquiring schooling: C/2
- Net benefits of acquiring schooling e*:
 - Benefit: receive wage 2.
 - Costs: pay C (low) or C/2 (high)
 - Net benefit: BD (low) or BF (high)
- Net benefits of not acquiring schooling e*:
 - receiving wage=1 (AO)

- Costs of schooling
 - Low productivity workers have high costs of acquiring schooling: C
 - High productivity workers have low costs of acquiring schooling: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}/2}$
- Net benefits of acquiring schooling e*:
 - Benefit: receive wage 2
 - Costs: pay C (low) or C/2 (high)
 - Net benefit: BD (low) or BF (high)
- Net benefits of not acquiring schooling e*:
 - receiving wage=1 (AO)

- Costs of schooling
 - Low productivity workers have high costs of acquiring schooling: C
 - High productivity workers have low costs of acquiring schooling: C/2
- Net benefits of acquiring schooling e*:
 - Benefit: receive wage 2
 - Costs: pay C (low) or C/2 (high)
 - Net benefit: BD (low) or BF (high)
- Net benefits of not acquiring schooling e*:

receiving wage=1 (AO)

- Costs of schooling
 - Low productivity workers have high costs of acquiring schooling: C
 - High productivity workers have low costs of acquiring schooling: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}/2$
- Net benefits of acquiring schooling e*:
 - Benefit: receive wage 2
 - Costs: pay C (low) or C/2 (high)
 - Net benefit: BD (low) or BF (high)

Net benefits of not acquiring schooling e*:

receiving wage=1 (AO)

- Costs of schooling
 - Low productivity workers have high costs of acquiring schooling: C
 - High productivity workers have low costs of acquiring schooling: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}/2$
- Net benefits of acquiring schooling e*:
 - Benefit: receive wage 2
 - Costs: pay C (low) or C/2 (high)
 - Net benefit: BD (low) or BF (high)
- Net benefits of not acquiring schooling e*:
 receiving wage=1 (AO)

- Costs of schooling
 - Low productivity workers have high costs of acquiring schooling: C
 - High productivity workers have low costs of acquiring schooling: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}/2$
- Net benefits of acquiring schooling e*:
 - Benefit: receive wage 2
 - Costs: pay C (low) or C/2 (high)
 - Net benefit: BD (low) or BF (high)
- Net benefits of not acquiring schooling e*:
 receiving wage=1 (AO)

- Costs of schooling
 - Low productivity workers have high costs of acquiring schooling: C
 - High productivity workers have low costs of acquiring schooling: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}/2$
- Net benefits of acquiring schooling e*:
 - Benefit: receive wage 2
 - Costs: pay C (low) or C/2 (high)
 - Net benefit: BD (low) or BF (high)
- Net benefits of not acquiring schooling e*:

- Costs of schooling
 - Low productivity workers have high costs of acquiring schooling: C
 - High productivity workers have low costs of acquiring schooling: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}/2$
- Net benefits of acquiring schooling e*:
 - Benefit: receive wage 2
 - Costs: pay C (low) or C/2 (high)
 - Net benefit: BD (low) or BF (high)
- Net benefits of not acquiring schooling e*:
 - receiving wage=1 (AO)







Optimal Decision for Signal Acquisition

- Low productivity applicants: Because of BD<AO, so do NOT acquire schooling e*
- High productivity applicants:
 For BF>AO, Do acquire schooling e*
- A Separating Equilibrium make employers can tell worker ability from the "signal"

Optimal Decision for Signal Acquisition

- Low productivity applicants: Because of BD<AO, so do NOT acquire schooling e*
- High productivity applicants:
 For BF>AO, Do acquire schooling e*
- A Separating Equilibrium make employers can tell worker ability from the "signal"

Optimal Decision for Signal Acquisition

- Low productivity applicants: Because of BD<AO, so do NOT acquire schooling e*
- High productivity applicants:
 For BF>AO, Do acquire schooling e*
- A Separating Equilibrium make employers can tell worker ability from the "signal"

- Both signaling model and human capital model predict that more education leads to higher earnings
- It has been extremely difficult to establish empirically which source caused the positive relationship between earnings and schooling

GED vs. high school dropouts

- Both signaling model and human capital model predict that more education leads to higher earnings
- It has been extremely difficult to establish empirically which source caused the positive relationship between earnings and schooling

GED vs. high school dropouts

- Both signaling model and human capital model predict that more education leads to higher earnings
- It has been extremely difficult to establish empirically which source caused the positive relationship between earnings and schooling
 - GED vs. high school dropouts

Implications of the two theories are different

- a) H.C. theory: government, by subsidizing education, provides a way out of poverty
- b) Signaling: the expenditures do not increase productivity. Socially wasteful
- In the signaling model, education is still useful:
 - a) sorting workers into the right jobs
 - Education could have positive social rate of return even if it does not increase a particular worker's productivity

- Implications of the two theories are different
 - a) H.C. theory: government, by subsidizing education, provides a way out of poverty
 - b) Signaling: the expenditures do not increase productivity. Socially wasteful
- In the signaling model, education is still useful:
 - a) sorting workers into the right jobs
 - Education could have positive social rate of return even if it does not increase a particular worker's productivity

- Implications of the two theories are different
 - a) H.C. theory: government, by subsidizing education, provides a way out of poverty
 - b) Signaling: the expenditures do not increase productivity. Socially wasteful
- In the signaling model, education is still useful:
 - a) sorting workers into the right jobs
 - Education could have positive social rate of return even if it does not increase a particular worker's productivity

- Implications of the two theories are different
 - a) H.C. theory: government, by subsidizing education, provides a way out of poverty
 - b) Signaling: the expenditures do not increase productivity. Socially wasteful
- In the signaling model, education is still useful:
 - a) sorting workers into the right jobs
 - b) Education could have positive social rate of return even if it does not increase a particular worker's productivity

- Implications of the two theories are different
 - a) H.C. theory: government, by subsidizing education, provides a way out of poverty
 - b) Signaling: the expenditures do not increase productivity. Socially wasteful
- In the signaling model, education is still useful:
 - a) sorting workers into the right jobs
 - b) Education could have positive social rate of return even if it does not increase a particular worker's productivity

- Implications of the two theories are different
 - a) H.C. theory: government, by subsidizing education, provides a way out of poverty
 - b) Signaling: the expenditures do not increase productivity. Socially wasteful
- In the signaling model, education is still useful:
 - a) sorting workers into the right jobs
 - b) Education could have positive social rate of return even if it does not increase a particular worker's productivity

On the Job Training
- Motivation:
- Earnings continue to grow after schooling
- The growth rate is different over the life cycle and for different groups
- Explain the pattern by on-the-job training

- Motivation:
- Earnings continue to grow after schooling
- The growth rate is different over the life cycle and for different groups
- Explain the pattern by on-the-job training

- Motivation:
- Earnings continue to grow after schooling
- The growth rate is different over the life cycle and for different groups
- Explain the pattern by on-the-job training

- Motivation:
- Earnings continue to grow after schooling
- The growth rate is different over the life cycle and for different groups
- Explain the pattern by on-the-job training

• General training:

Once workers acquired, enhances productivity equally in all firms.

- a) English
- b) Computer Skill
- Specific training:

- a) Drive a tank in the army
- b) Some specific computer languages

• General training:

Once workers acquired, enhances productivity equally in all firms.

- a) English
- b) Computer Skill
- Specific training:

- a) Drive a tank in the army
- b) Some specific computer languages

• General training:

Once workers acquired, enhances productivity equally in all firms.

- a) English
- b) Computer Skill
- Specific training:

- a) Drive a tank in the army
- b) Some specific computer languages

• General training:

Once workers acquired, enhances productivity equally in all firms.

- a) English
- b) Computer Skill
- Specific training:

- a) Drive a tank in the army
- b) Some specific computer languages

• General training:

Once workers acquired, enhances productivity equally in all firms.

- a) English
- b) Computer Skill
- Specific training:

- a) Drive a tank in the army
- b) Some specific computer languages

• General training:

Once workers acquired, enhances productivity equally in all firms.

- a) English
- b) Computer Skill
- Specific training:

- a) Drive a tank in the army
- b) Some specific computer languages

- Whether workers or firms pay the costs of OJT
- The worker will pay for general training through low wages during the training period.
- The firm must bear the cost of specific training.

- Whether workers or firms pay the costs of OJT
- The worker will pay for general training through low wages during the training period.
- The firm must bear the cost of specific training.

- Whether workers or firms pay the costs of OJT
- The worker will pay for general training through low wages during the training period.
- The firm must bear the cost of specific training.

Some Implications for Specific Trainings

- Neither workers nor firm want to terminate the employment contact.
- So ST can be explained some phenomenon as following
 - a) "Last hired, first fired"
 - b) Industrial transition and layoffs

- Neither workers nor firm want to terminate the employment contact.
- So ST can be explained some phenomenon as following
 - a) "Last hired, first fired"
 - b) Industrial transition and layoffs

- Neither workers nor firm want to terminate the employment contact.
- So ST can be explained some phenomenon as following
 - a) "Last hired, first fired"
 - b) Industrial transition and layoffs

- Neither workers nor firm want to terminate the employment contact.
- So ST can be explained some phenomenon as following
 - a) "Last hired, first fired"
 - b) Industrial transition and layoffs